

4.10 Summary of Needs and Strategies by County

Table 4.10-1 is a summary of the recommended water management strategies for water user groups in Region F grouped by county, as well as a summary by strategy type. Table 4.10-2 shows additional strategies whose capital costs are associated with wholesale water providers. (There is some overlap for the supplies in these two tables, but no overlap in capital costs.) Only three counties, Crane, Crockett, and Loving, do not have water management strategies. The largest single category of water management strategies is conservation, totaling over 82,000 acre-feet per year in 2060. The largest contribution to this strategy comes from irrigation conservation, which contributes about 88 percent of the total. Other significant strategies include subordination, new groundwater sources, and voluntary redistribution. Altogether, these strategies result in nearly 195,000 acre-feet of water becoming available to water user groups by 2060, with an overall capital cost of about \$897 million (includes costs developed by wholesale water providers).

Table 4.10-3 shows the unmet needs in Region F. All of these needs are for irrigation and steam-electric power generation. Unmet irrigation needs are the result of either insufficient groundwater supplies to meet projected demand or limited surface water availability for run-of-the-river irrigation rights from the Colorado WAM (any run-of-the-river right with a priority date after 1926 will have no supply by definition). In most cases conservation is the only cost-effective method to reduce irrigation needs. In every county except Martin County conservation was insufficient to prevent unmet needs.

In this plan, the default method to allocate groundwater was to first meet municipal, manufacturing, livestock, mining and steam-electric demands. (Steam-electric demands were limited to current use. Any growth in steam-electric demand was given last priority). In most cases, irrigation was allocated water last, resulting in a need if insufficient supplies were available to meet all demands. For most of the aquifers in counties with irrigation shortages, irrigation represents from 70 to 99 percent of the demand from these aquifers in 2010, so it is appropriate to assign water supply needs to irrigation demands. An exception is Ward County, where irrigation accounts for only 34 percent of the 2010 demand from the Pecos Valley aquifer. In Ward County there are significant demands for municipal, mining and steam-electric use. For

the purposes of this plan, it was assumed that these demand categories would have priority over irrigation demand.

Unmet irrigation needs for surface water supplies are primarily the result of the priority of the water rights in each county as allocated by the Colorado and Rio Grande WAMs. In the Colorado Basin, any run-of-the-river water right with a priority date after 1926 will have no reliable supply. Water rights with priority dates senior to 1926 may not have sufficient supplies in all years. (Run-of-the-river irrigation rights were not part of the subordination analysis performed with Region K.) Although historical surface water use from these sources may be greater than indicated, the shortage may be appropriate if it is assumed that senior downstream rights make priority calls on these irrigation rights.

In most cases steam-electric power generation demands are the result of the projections exceeding available supplies at existing generation facilities. Although it is likely that the steam-electric power generation industry will meet these demands, there is a great deal of uncertainty regarding the type of strategy or the location of future generation facilities used to meet the needs. Therefore these demands have been left as unmet needs.

Table 4.10 -1 Strategy Summary by County (Volume in Acre-Feet per Year)

Water User Group Name	County	Basin Name	Water Management Strategy Name	Source Name	Implementa-tion Date	Strategy Supply for 2010	Strategy Supply for 2020	Strategy Supply for 2030	Strategy Supply for 2040	Strategy Supplyfor 2050	Strategy Supply for 2060	Capital Cost
City of Andrews	Andrews	Colorado	Voluntary Redistribution	Ogallala aquifer		0	0	0	750	760	773	\$0
City of Andrews	Andrews	Colorado	Desalination	Dockum aquifer		0	950	950	950	950	950	\$6,717,000
City of Andrews	Andrews	Colorado	Conservation			84	191	240	265	287	310	\$0
Irrigation	Andrews	Colorado	Conservation			0	2,727	5,455	5,455	5,455	5,455	\$4,822,904
<i>Andrews County Total</i>						84	3,868	6,645	7,420	7,452	7,488	\$11,539,904
Irrigation	Borden	Brazos	Conservation			0	94	189	189	189	189	\$196,062
Irrigation	Borden	Colorado	Conservation			0	136	271	271	271	271	\$282,138
<i>Borden County Total</i>						0	230	460	460	460	460	\$478,200
Irrigation	Brown	Colorado	Conservation			0	93	185	185	185	185	\$54,917
<i>Brown County Total</i>						0	93	185	185	185	185	\$54,917
City of Bronte	Coke	Colorado	Subordination	Oak Creek Reservoir		129	129	129	129	129	129	\$0
City of Bronte	Coke	Colorado	Infrastructure Improvements	Oak Creek Reservoir		0	0	0	0	0	0	\$1,364,900
City of Bronte	Coke	Colorado	Conservation			16	45	48	48	50	51	\$0
City of Robert Lee	Coke	Colorado	Conservation			16	40	44	45	46	48	\$0
City of Robert Lee	Coke	Colorado	Infrastructure Improvements	Spence Reservoir		0	0	0	0	0	0	\$2,436,000
City of Robert Lee	Coke	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		95	115	2	21	34	55	\$0
County-Other	Coke	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		28	32	0	6	9	15	\$0
Mining	Coke	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		86	119	2	24	43	72	\$0
Steam Electric Power	Coke	Colorado	Subordination	Oak Creek Reservoir		310	247	289	339	401	477	\$0
<i>Coke County Total</i>						680	727	514	612	712	847	\$3,800,900
City of Coleman	Coleman	Colorado	Subordination	Lake Coleman		1,650	1,651	1,647	1,645	1,639	1,631	\$0
City of Coleman	Coleman	Colorado	Subordination	Hords Creek Reservoir		380	380	380	380	380	380	\$0
City of Coleman	Coleman	Colorado	Conservation			33	75	90	95	101	107	\$0
Coleman County WSC	Coleman	Colorado	Subordination	Lake Coleman		126	114	109	103	101	99	\$0
County-Other	Coleman	Colorado	Subordination	Lake Coleman		20	19	19	18	18	18	\$0
Irrigation	Coleman	Colorado	Subordination	Lake Coleman		1,348	1,348	1,348	1,348	1,348	1,348	\$0
Manufacturing	Coleman	Colorado	Subordination	Lake Coleman		6	6	6	6	6	6	\$0
Mining	Coleman	Colorado	Subordination	Lake Coleman		17	18	18	18	18	18	\$0
<i>Coleman County Total</i>						3,580	3,611	3,617	3,613	3,611	3,607	\$0
City of Eden	Concho	Colorado	New well *	Hickory aquifer		0	0	0	0	0	0	\$1,800,000
City of Eden	Concho	Colorado	Advanced treatment *	Hickory aquifer		0	0	0	0	0	0	\$2,582,000
County-Other	Concho	Colorado	Subordination	OC Fisher Reservoir		25	25	25	25	25	25	\$0
Irrigation	Concho	Colorado	Conservation			0	748	1,496	1,496	1,496	1,496	\$1,895,367
Millersview-Doole WSC	Concho	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		34	42	1	7	0	0	\$0
Millersview-Doole WSC	Concho	Colorado	Voluntary Redistribution	Colorado River MWD System		0	0	0	0	74	74	\$0
<i>Concho County Total</i>						59	815	1,522	1,528	1,595	1,595	\$4,477,367

Table 4.10 -1 Strategy Summary by County (Volume in Acre-Feet per Year)

Water User Group Name	County	Basin Name	Water Management Strategy Name	Source Name	Implement-ation Date	Strategy Supply for 2010	Strategy Supply for 2020	Strategy Supply for 2030	Strategy Supply for 2040	Strategy Supplyfor 2050	Strategy Supply for 2060	Capital Cost
Ector County UD	Ector	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		400	613	11	151	272	478	\$0
Irrigation	Ector	Colorado	Conservation			0	243	485	485	485	485	\$301,633
Irrigation	Ector	Rio Grande	Conservation			0	2	5	5	5	5	\$3,047
Manufacturing	Ector	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		366	149	3	46	86	158	\$0
Manufacturing	Ector	Colorado	Reuse	Direct Reuse		0	350	105	350	300	250	
City of Odessa	Ector	Colorado	Conservation			540	1,168	1,488	1,657	1,854	2,074	\$0
City of Odessa	Ector	Colorado	Voluntary Redistribution	Pecos Valley aquifer		0	4,708	10,508	10,507	10,502	10,498	\$0
City of Odessa	Ector	Colorado	Reuse			0	3,943	4,168	3,912	3,958	4,006	\$0
City of Odessa	Ector	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		4,019	5,611	59	1,085	1,913	3,314	\$0
<i>Ector County Total</i>						5,325	16,787	16,832	18,198	19,375	21,268	\$304,680
Irrigation	Glasscock	Colorado	Conservation			0	3,631	7,262	7,262	7,262	7,262	\$11,422,560
City of Big Spring	Howard	Colorado	Conservation			241	603	676	698	725	754	\$0
City of Big Spring	Howard	Colorado	Reuse			0	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855	\$0
City of Big Spring	Howard	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		1,345	1,672	24	299	491	796	\$0
City of Coahoma	Howard	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		49	61	1	11	18	29	\$0
Irrigation	Howard	Colorado	Conservation			0	327	653	653	653	653	\$647,652
Manufacturing	Howard	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		267	349	5	71	124	220	\$0
Mining	Howard	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		400	523	9	101	171	285	\$0
<i>Howard County Total</i>						2,302	5,390	3,223	3,688	4,037	4,592	\$647,652
Irrigation	Irion	Colorado	Conservation			0	37	73	73	73	73	\$21,137
Irrigation	Irion	Colorado	Weather Modification			0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
<i>Irion County Total</i>						0	37	73	73	73	73	\$21,137
City of Junction	Kimble	Colorado	Subordination	Llano River		991	991	991	991	991	991	\$0
County-Other	Kimble	Colorado	Subordination	Llano River		9	9	9	9	9	9	\$0
Irrigation	Kimble	Colorado	Conservation			0	74	147	147	147	147	\$141,658
Manufacturing	Kimble	Colorado	Subordination	Llano River		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	\$0
<i>Kimble County Total</i>						2,000	2,074	2,147	2,147	2,147	2,147	\$141,658
City of Stanton	Martin	Colorado	Voluntary Redistribution	Colorado River MWD System		392	422	429	430	415	393	\$0
Irrigation	Martin	Colorado	Conservation			0	1,751	3,502	3,502	3,502	3,502	\$4,001,621
<i>Martin County Total</i>						392	2,173	3,931	3,932	3,917	\$3,895	\$4,001,621
Irrigation	Mason	Colorado	Conservation			0	746	1,491	1,491	1,491	1,491	\$713,460

Table 4.10 -1 Strategy Summary by County (Volume in Acre-Feet per Year)

Water User Group Name	County	Basin Name	Water Management Strategy Name	Source Name	Implement-ation Date	Strategy Supply for 2010	Strategy Supply for 2020	Strategy Supply for 2030	Strategy Supply for 2040	Strategy Supplyfor 2050	Strategy Supply for 2060	Capital Cost
Irrigation	Reeves	Rio Grande	Conservation			0	5,824	11,648	11,648	11,648	11,648	\$8,253,318
City of Ballinger	Runnels	Colorado	Conservation			33	88	107	119	131	144	\$0
City of Ballinger	Runnels	Colorado	Subordination	Lake Ballinger		917	930	920	910	900	890	\$0
City of Ballinger	Runnels	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		141	169	68	115	0	0	\$0
City of Ballinger and customers	Runnels	Colorado	Voluntary Redistribution	Colorado River MWD System		0	0	0	0	493	508	\$0
Coleman County WSC	Runnels	Colorado	Subordination	Lake Coleman		18	30	39	48	56	66	\$0
County-Other	Runnels	Colorado	Subordination	Lake Ballinger		23	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
County-Other	Runnels	Colorado	Subordination	Lake Winters		114	89	69	49	31	0	\$0
County-Other	Runnels	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		193	177	148	116	0	0	\$0
County-Other	Runnels	Colorado	Voluntary Redistribution	Colorado River MWD System		0	0	0	0	94	77	\$0
Manufacturing	Runnels	Colorado	Subordination	Lake Winters		54	60	65	70	74	79	\$0
Manufacturing	Runnels	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		9	10	11	12	0	0	\$0
Manufacturing	Runnels	Colorado	Voluntary Redistribution	Colorado River MWD System		0	0	0	0	13	15	\$0
City of Miles	Runnels	Colorado	Subordination	OC Fisher Reservoir		200	200	200	200	200	200	\$0
Millersview-Doole WSC	Runnels	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		25	31	0	6	0	0	\$0
Millersview-Doole WSC	Runnels	Colorado	Voluntary Redistribution	Colorado River MWD System		0	0	0	0	58	58	\$0
City of Winters	Runnels	Colorado	Conservation			21	55	63	67	71	76	\$0
City of Winters	Runnels	Colorado	Reuse			0	0	0	110	110	110	\$2,158,000
City of Winters	Runnels	Colorado	Subordination	Lake Winters		552	561	566	571	575	591	\$0
<i>Runnels County Total</i>						<i>2,300</i>	<i>2,400</i>	<i>2,256</i>	<i>2,393</i>	<i>2,806</i>	<i>2,814</i>	<i>\$2,158,000</i>
Irrigation	Schleicher	Colorado	Conservation			0	89	178	178	178	178	\$146,895
Irrigation	Schleicher	Rio Grande	Conservation			0	18	36	36	36	36	\$30,087
<i>Schleicher County Total</i>						<i>0</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>214</i>	<i>214</i>	<i>214</i>	<i>214</i>	<i>\$176,982</i>
County-Other	Scurry	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		54	66	1	12	20	33	\$0
Irrigation	Scurry	Brazos	Conservation			0	160	320	320	320	320	\$361,342
Irrigation	Scurry	Colorado	Conservation			0	411	823	823	823	823	\$929,166
City of Snyder	Scurry	Colorado	Conservation			70	154	191	205	220	234	\$0
City of Snyder	Scurry	Colorado	Reuse			0	726	726	726	726	726	\$0
City of Snyder	Scurry	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		511	641	9	117	194	315	\$0
<i>Scurry County Total</i>						<i>635</i>	<i>2,158</i>	<i>2,070</i>	<i>2,203</i>	<i>2,303</i>	<i>2,451</i>	<i>\$1,290,509</i>
Irrigation	Sterling	Colorado	Conservation			0	45	89	89	89	89	\$25,860
Irrigation	Sutton	Colorado	Conservation			0	44	88	88	88	88	\$60,431
Irrigation	Sutton	Rio Grande	Conservation			0	98	196	196	196	196	\$134,509
<i>Sutton County Total</i>						<i>0</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>284</i>	<i>284</i>	<i>284</i>	<i>284</i>	<i>\$194,940</i>

Table 4.10 -1 Strategy Summary by County (Volume in Acre-Feet per Year)

Water User Group Name	County	Basin Name	Water Management Strategy Name	Source Name	Implement-ation Date	Strategy Supply for 2010	Strategy Supply for 2020	Strategy Supply for 2030	Strategy Supply for 2040	Strategy Supplyfor 2050	Strategy Supply for 2060	Capital Cost
County-Other	Tom Green	Colorado	Subordination	Nasworthy/Twin Buttes		250	250	250	250	250	250	\$0
Irrigation	Tom Green	Colorado	Conservation			0	5,774	11,548	11,548	11,548	11,548	\$10,120,488
Irrigation	Tom Green	Colorado	Subordination	Nasworthy/Twin Buttes		3,377	3,273	3,170	3,066	2,693	2,860	\$0
Manufacturing	Tom Green	Colorado	Subordination	Nasworthy/Twin Buttes		2,226	2,498	2,737	2,971	3,175	3,425	\$0
Millersview-Doole WSC	Tom Green	Colorado	Subordination	Colorado River MWD System		64	87	1	19	0	0	\$0
Millersview-Doole WSC	Tom Green	Colorado	Voluntary Redistribution	Colorado River MWD System		0	0	0	0	225	225	\$0
City of San Angelo	Tom Green	Colorado	Desalination	Other aquifer		0	0	0	5,600	5,600	5,600	See WWP
City of San Angelo	Tom Green	Colorado	New Groundwater	Hickory aquifer		0	6,700	10,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	See WWP
City of San Angelo	Tom Green	Colorado	Conservation			701	1,705	2,009	2,127	2,255	2,371	\$0
City of San Angelo	Tom Green	Colorado	Infrastructure Improvements	Spence Reservoir		0	0	2,281	2,267	2,254	2,240	See WWP
City of San Angelo	Tom Green	Colorado	Subordination	Nasworthy/Twin Buttes		5,436	5,078	4,752	4,431	4,141	3,804	\$0
City of San Angelo	Tom Green	Colorado	Subordination	OC Fisher Reservoir		3,637	3,518	3,400	3,282	3,163	3,045	\$0
City of San Angelo	Tom Green	Colorado	Subordination	OH Ivie Reservoir		17	(97)	(211)	(324)	(438)	(553)	\$0
City of San Angelo	Tom Green	Colorado	Brush Control			8,362	8,362	8,362	8,362	8,362	8,362	See WWP
Steam Electric Power	Tom Green	Colorado	Subordination	Nasworthy/Twin Buttes		1,021	1,021	1,021	1,021	1,021	1,021	\$0
<i>Tom Green County Total</i>						<i>25,091</i>	<i>38,169</i>	<i>49,320</i>	<i>56,620</i>	<i>56,249</i>	<i>56,198</i>	<i>\$10,120,488</i>
Irrigation	Upton	Colorado	Conservation			0	911	1,822	1,822	1,822	1,822	\$2,885,269
Irrigation	Upton	Rio Grande	Conservation			0	9	18	18	18	18	\$58,883
<i>Upton County Total</i>						<i>0</i>	<i>920</i>	<i>1,840</i>	<i>1,840</i>	<i>1,840</i>	<i>1,840</i>	<i>\$2,944,152</i>
County-Other	Ward	Rio Grande	Voluntary Redistribution	Pecos Valley aquifer		0	400	400	400	400	400	\$0
Irrigation	Ward	Rio Grande	Conservation			0	785	1,570	1,570	1,570	1,570	\$437,760
Irrigation	Ward	Rio Grande	Weather Modification			0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
<i>Ward County Total</i>						<i>0</i>	<i>1,185</i>	<i>1,970</i>	<i>1,970</i>	<i>1,970</i>	<i>1,970</i>	<i>\$437,760</i>
Irrigation	Winkler	Rio Grande	Conservation			0	195	389	389	389	389	\$196,902
			Conservation			3,197	43,113	80,551	81,141	81,769	82,423	\$68,650,668
			Desalination			0	950	950	6,550	6,550	6,550	\$6,717,000
			New Groundwater			160	9,060	25,960	27,960	27,960	27,960	\$188,046,000
			Infrastructure Improvements			0	200	2,481	2,467	2,454	2,440	\$15,031,879
			Reuse			0	12,380	12,380	12,490	12,490	12,490	\$2,158,000
			Bottled Water Program			1	1	1	1	1	1	\$3,000
			Brush Control			8,362	8,362	8,362	8,362	8,362	8,362	\$0
			Subordination			43,890	47,144	30,172	31,518	31,865	34,039	\$0
			Voluntary Redistribution			392	5,622	21,629	22,180	23,075	22,866	\$0
			Weather Modification			0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
			<i>Total for All Strategies</i>			<i>56,002</i>	<i>126,832</i>	<i>182,486</i>	<i>192,669</i>	<i>194,526</i>	<i>197,131</i>	<i>\$280,606,547</i>

Table 4.10-2 Strategy Summary by Wholesale Water Provider

Wholesale Water Provider	Water Management Strategy Name	Source Name	Strategy Supply for 2010	Strategy Supply for 2020	Strategy Supply for 2030	Strategy Supply for 2040	Strategy Supply for 2050	Strategy Supply for 2060	Capital Cost
CRMWD	Reuse		0	12,380	12,380	12,380	12,380	12,380	\$128,748,000
	Subordination	CRMWD System	48,027	47,133	46,240	45,347	44,453	43,560	\$0
	Renew contract with University Lands	Ogallala aquifer		5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200	\$8,964,000
	Supplemental wells	Pecos Valley, Ogallala aquifers	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$10,440,000
	New Groundwater	Pecos Valley aquifer	0	0	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	\$76,268,000
	Desalination	Capitan Reef aquifer	0	0		9,500	9,500	9,500	\$131,603,990
<i>CRMWD Total</i>			<i>48,027</i>	<i>64,713</i>	<i>69,820</i>	<i>78,427</i>	<i>77,533</i>	<i>76,640</i>	<i>\$356,023,990</i>
San Angelo	Subordination	San Angelo system	16,147	15,838	15,530	15,221	14,643	14,605	\$0
	Rehabilitation of Spence pipeline	Spence reservoir (non-system)	0	0	2,281	2,267	2,254	2,240	\$6,157,000
	Desalination	Other aquifer	0	0	0	5,600	5,600	5,600	\$75,440,000
	New Groundwater	Hickory aquifer	0	6,700	10,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	\$173,307,000
	Brush Control	San Angelo system	8,362	8,362	8,362	8,362	8,362	8,362	\$23,020,000
<i>San Angelo Total</i>			<i>24,509</i>	<i>22,538</i>	<i>27,811</i>	<i>35,088</i>	<i>34,497</i>	<i>34,445</i>	<i>\$254,904,000</i>
UCRA	Subordination	OC Fisher Reservoir	3,862	3,743	3,625	3,507	3,388	3,270	\$0
University Lands	Renew contract with CRMWD	Ogallala aquifer	0	5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200	\$0
	Renew contract with Andrews	Ogallala aquifer	0	0	0	750	760	773	\$0
	Reuse		0	12,380	12,380	12,380	12,380	12,380	\$128,748,000
	Subordination		64,174	62,971	61,770	60,568	59,096	58,165	\$0
	Infrastructure Improvements		0	0	2,281	2,267	2,254	2,240	\$16,597,000
	New Groundwater		0	6,700	16,000	18,000	18,000	18,000	\$249,575,000
	Voluntary Distribution		0	5,200	5,200	5,950	5,960	5,973	\$8,964,000
	Desalination		0	0	0	15,100	15,100	15,100	\$207,043,990
	Brush Control		8,362	8,362	8,362	8,362	8,362	8,362	\$23,020,000
	<i>Total for All Strategies</i>		<i>72,536</i>	<i>95,613</i>	<i>105,993</i>	<i>122,627</i>	<i>121,152</i>	<i>120,220</i>	<i>\$633,947,990</i>

Table 4.10-3
Unmet Needs in Region F
 (Values in Acre-Feet per Year)

Water User Group	County	Basin	Source(s)	2010	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
Irrigation	Andrews	Colorado	Ogallala aquifer	(12,875)	(10,118)	(7,252)	(5,862)	(5,659)	(5,491)
Irrigation	Borden	Brazos	Ogallala aquifer	(1,019)	(924)	(827)	(824)	(821)	(819)
Irrigation	Borden	Colorado	Ogallala aquifer	(828)	(690)	(552)	(551)	(548)	(547)
Irrigation	Brown	Colorado	Trinity aquifer, run-of-river	(3,006)	(2,889)	(2,761)	(2,720)	(2,683)	(2,656)
Irrigation	Coke	Colorado	Other aquifer, run-of-river	(363)	(363)	(361)	(360)	(360)	(360)
Irrigation	Glasscock	Colorado	Edwards-Trinity aquifer, Ogallala aquifer	(27,784)	(23,750)	(19,710)	(19,290)	(18,869)	(18,460)
Steam-Electric Power	Ector	Colorado	Ogallala aquifer	(1,219)	(3,969)	(5,512)	(7,393)	(9,686)	(12,481)
Irrigation	Irion	Colorado	Run-of-river	(1,302)	(1,204)	(1,108)	(1,047)	(987)	(927)
Irrigation	Martin	Colorado	Ogallala aquifer	(788)	0	0	0	0	0
Irrigation	Menard	Colorado	Run-of-river	(2,441)	(2,398)	(2,356)	(2,337)	(2,315)	(2,296)
Irrigation	Midland	Colorado	Edwards-Trinity aquifer, Ogallala aquifer	(16,233)	(14,559)	(12,748)	(12,654)	(12,512)	(12,393)
Irrigation	Reeves	Rio Grande	Pecos Valley aquifer	(14,253)	(7,577)	(895)	(33)	0	0
Irrigation	Runnels	Colorado	Run-of-river	(1,358)	(1,344)	(1,325)	(1,306)	(1,287)	(1,268)
Irrigation	Tom Green	Colorado	Lipan aquifer, run-of-river	(43,713)	(37,784)	(31,858)	(31,707)	(31,821)	(31,399)
Steam-Electric Power	Tom Green	Colorado	Twin Buttes/Nasworthy System	0	0	0	(48)	(243)	(481)
Irrigation	Upton	Colorado	Edwards-Trinity aquifer	(10,672)	(9,540)	(8,401)	(8,170)	(7,940)	(7,717)
Irrigation	Ward	Rio Grande	Pecos Valley aquifer	(5,527)	(4,188)	(4,151)	(4,969)	(5,335)	(5,318)
Steam-Electric Power	Ward	Rio Grande	Pecos Valley aquifer	0	0	0	0	(679)	(1,973)
<i>Total</i>				<i>(154,378)</i>	<i>(129,936)</i>	<i>(105,997)</i>	<i>(104,894)</i>	<i>(106,785)</i>	<i>(109,043)</i>

4.11 List of References

- ¹ Norvell, Stuart: Economic Impacts of Projected Water Shortages for the Region F Regional Water Planning Area, prepared for the Texas Water Development Board, October 5, 2010.
- ² Historical water use data from TCEQ database.
- ³ Texas Water Development Board: Exhibit B Guidelines for Regional Water Plan Development, July 2002.
- ⁴ November 2004 version of the Colorado and Brazos Colorado WAM, full authorization run. Obtained from Kathy Alexander of TCEQ in November 2004.
- ⁵ Hibbs & Todd, Inc.: *Preliminary Engineering Report for a New Water Transmission Line*, prepared for the City of Ballinger, April 2004.
- ⁶ November 2004 version of the Colorado and Brazos Colorado WAM, Full Authorization Run (Run 3). Obtained from Kathy Alexander of TCEQ on November 12, 2004.
- ⁷ Kay Snyder, City of Midland. Personal communication.
- ⁸ PSC draft report. Reference needed.
- ⁹ Phone conversation with Rufus Beam, City of Brady, 1/21/05.
- ¹⁰ Phone conversation with Aubrey Bierman, President of Lakeland Services, Inc., 6/6/05.
- ¹¹ Verbal information provided at Regional Planning Meeting, 2/05.
- ¹² US Environmental Protection Agency Radionuclides Rule, 66 FR 76708-76753, Volume 65, No. 236, December 7, 2000.
- ¹³ Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Chapter 290 – Public Drinking Water, Rule Project No. 2004-038-290-WT, adopted December 1, 2004.
- ¹⁴ Summary of Investigation Into the Occurrence of Cancer; Concho, McCulloch, San Saba, and Tom Green Counties, Texas, 1990-1998, prepared by Texas Department of Health, December 15, 2000.
- ¹⁵ Letter to Robert J. Huston, Chairman of Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, from Michael Ford, C.H.P., Vice Chair of the Texas Radiation Advisory Board, dated May 6, 2002.
- ¹⁶ US Environmental Protection Agency Radionuclides Rule: A Quick Reference Guide, EPA 816-F-01-003, June 2001.
- ¹⁷ Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Chapter 290 – Public Drinking Water, Rule Project No. 2004-038-290-WT, Response to Comments.
- ¹⁸ Meeting with Tony Bennett, Water Supply Division, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, 02/04/05.
- ¹⁹ Personal communication with Bill Wootan, representative for Live Oak Hills water utility, March 2005.
- ²⁰ Phone conversation with Thomas Sorg, US Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, OH, on 02/05/05.

- ²¹ Standards for Protection Against Radiation from Radioactive Materials, TAC §289.202, administered by Texas Department of Health.
- ²² Phone conversation with Ron Dollar of Water Remediation Technology, LLC on 1/20/05.
- ²³ WRT Proposal letter to Mr. August Pope, Richland Springs SUD, dated July 8, 2004.
- ²⁴ US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water, “Radionuclides in Drinking Water: A Small Entity Compliance Guide”, February 2002.
- ²⁵ Texas Department of State Health Services, Environmental Sciences Branch, Fee List sent by fax on 6/17/2005.
- ²⁶ Environmental Protection Agency: Small System Cost Calculator, available on-line at <http://www.epa.gov/OGWDW/smallsystems/compliancehelp.html>
- ²⁷ United States Environmental Protection Agency: *Point-of-Use or Point-of-Entry Treatment Options for Small Drinking Water Systems*, EPA 815-R-06-010, April 2006
- ²⁸ Phone conversation with David Sanders, Director of Utilities, City of Andrews, 1/31/05.
- ²⁹ Phone conversation with Wendell Moody, City of Eden, 6/14/2005.
- ³⁰ Texas Department of Health: *Summary of an Investigation into the Occurrence of Cancer Concho, McCulloch, San Saba, and Tom Green Counties, Texas 1990-1998*, December 15, 2000.
- ³¹ Michael Ford, Vice Chair of the Texas Radiation Advisory Board, letter to Robert J. Huston, Chairman, Texas Natural Resource Conservation commission, May 6, 2002.
- ³² Charles Haygood, Kimble County representative to Region F, personal communication.
- ³³ Kevin Kluge, Texas Water Development Board, personal communication.
- ³⁴ Investor-Owned Utility Companies of Texas: Power Generation Water Use in Texas for the Years 2000 to 2060, prepared for the Texas Water Development Board, January 2003.
- ³⁵ Freese and Nichols, Inc. et al.: Region F Regional Water Plan, prepared for the Region F Water Planning Group, January 2001.
- ³⁶ New, L.L. 1999. Personal Communication. Texas Agricultural Extension Service, Amarillo, Texas.
- ³⁷ Texas Water Development Board. Available on-line at <http://www.twdb.state.tx.us/assistance/conservation/ASPApps/survey.asp>
- ³⁸ Texas Water Code. Available on-line at <http://www.capitol.state.tx.us/statutes/wa.toc.htm>.
- ³⁹ Freese and Nichols, Inc., Regional Water Reclamation Project Feasibility Study, prepared for the Colorado River Municipal Water District, March 29, 2005.
- ⁴⁰ Layne Water Development Corporation, presentation on the Hovey Trough, September 2002.
- ⁴¹ Mesa Water, Inc.: Water Supply Study Providing Groundwater from the Texas Panhandle to Communities Throughout the State of Texas, 2000.
- ⁴² R.W. Hardin & Associates, Inc.: Groundwater Availability Evaluation Hemphill, Lipscomb, Ochiltree, and Roberts Counties, prepared for Mesa Water, Inc., December 2002.
- ⁴³ November 2004 version of the Colorado WAM.

- ⁴⁴ City of San Angelo et al.: San Angelo Water Preparing for the Next 50 Years, February 2004.
- ⁴⁵ TWDB historical per capita data.
- ⁴⁶ LBG-Guyton Associates: Report on Brackish Source Water Exploration in the San Angelo Area, prepared for the Upper Colorado River Authority and the Texas Water Development Board, April 2008.
- ⁴⁷ John Kelley, P.E., Parkhill, Smith and Cooper, personal communication, May 26, 2005.
- ⁴⁸ Ed L. Reed and Associates: Development of the Menard-McCulloch County Well Field, prepared for the City of San Angelo, June 1975.
- ⁴⁹ Ed L. Reed and Associates: Evaluation of Six Pumping Tests in the City of San Angelo McCulloch County Well Field, McCulloch County, Texas, prepared for the City of San Angelo, September, 1980.
- ⁵⁰ Layne Water Development Corporation, presentation on the Hovey Trough, September 2002.
- ⁵¹ Ed L. Reed & Associates: Ground Water Resources Investigation Schleicher County, Texas, prepared for the City of San Angelo, May 1985.
- ⁵² Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation website. November 11, 2004.
<http://www.license.state.tx.us/weather/weathermod.htm>.
- ⁵³ West Texas Weather Modification Association. 2008. 2008 Annual Report for West Texas Weather Modification Association.
- ⁵⁴ Arquimedes Ruiz Columbie, Active Influence & Scientific Management, 2008, Annual Evaluation Report 2008 State of Texas, prepared for the Texas Weather Modification Association. Available on-line at <http://www.texasweathermodification.com>.
- ⁵⁵ Texas Weather Modification Courier, Vol. 3 Issue 1, February 2009, Available on-line at <http://www.texasweathermodification.com>
- ⁵⁶ Gatewood, J. S., Robinson, T. W., Colby, B. R., Hem, J. D., and Halpenny, L. C., 1950, Use of water by bottom-land vegetation in lower Safford Valley, Arizona. U.S. Geological Survey, Water Supply Paper 1103.
- ⁵⁷ Mogg, J. L., Schoff, S. L., and Reed, E. W., 1960, Ground water resources of Canadian County, Oklahoma. Oklahoma Geological Survey, Bull. 87.
- ⁵⁸ Borrelli, J., Fedler, C.B., and Gregory, J. M., 1998, Mean crop consumptive use and free-water evaporation for Texas. Texas Water Development Board Grant No. 95-483-137.
- ⁵⁹ McDonald, C. C., and Hughes, G. H., 1968, Studies of consumptive use of water by phreatophytes and hydrophytes near Yuma, Arizona. U.S. Geological Survey, Prof. Paper 486-F.
- ⁶⁰ Hines, L. B., 1992, Quantification of natural ground-water evapotranspiration in Smith Creek Valley, Lander County, Nevada, U.S. Geological Survey, Water Supply Paper 2340.
- ⁶¹ Ansley, R. J., Trevino, B. A., and Jacoby, P. W., 1998, Intraspecific competition in honey mesquite: Leaf and whole plant responses. Jour. Range Mgt., v. 51, p. 345-352.

- ⁶² Dugas, W. A., and Hicks, R. A., 1998, Effect of removal of Juniper ashe on evapotranspiration and runoff in the Seco Creek watershed. *Water Resources Research*, v. 34, no. 6, p. 1499-1506.
- ⁶³ Van Hylckama, T. E. A., 1970, Water use by salt cedar. *Water Resources Research*, v. 6, no. 3, p. 728-735.
- ⁶⁴ Sala, A., Smith, S. D., and Devitt, D. A., 1996, Water use by *Tamarix ramosissima* and associated phreatophytes in a Mojave Desert floodplain. *Jour. Applied Ecology*, v. 6, no. 3, p. 888-898.
- ⁶⁵ Weeks, E. P., Weaver, H. L., Campbell, G. S., and Tanner, B. D., 1987, Water use by salt cedar and by replacement vegetation in the Pecos River floodplain between Acme and Artesia, New Mexico. U.S. Geological Survey, Prof. Paper 491-G.
- ⁶⁶ Duell, L. F. W., 1990, Estimates of evapotranspiration in alkaline scrub and meadow communities of Owens Valley, California, using the Bowen-ratio, eddy-correlation, and Penman-combination methods. U.S. Geological Survey, Water Supply Paper 2370.
- ⁶⁷ Freese and Nichols, Inc. and HDR, Inc., Draft Memorandum on Brush Control - Region G, September 7, 2004)
- ⁶⁸ Colorado River Municipal Water District, Annual Report, 2003.
- ⁶⁹ Texas State Soils and Water Conservation Board (TSSWCB), Brush Control Program, 2008 Annual Report, available on-line at <http://www.tsswcb.state.tx.us/en/reports>
- ⁷⁰ Robert L. Cook, Executive Director of Texas Parks and Wildlife: Letter to Kevin Ward, Executive Director of the Texas Water Development Board, May 5, 2004.